

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	· F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/541,842 07/12/		07/12/2005	12/2005 Rene Brunone	0512-1286	4280	
466	7590	10/30/2006		EXAMINER		
YOUNG &	& THOMI	PSON	UPTON, CHRISTOPHER			
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTO		22202	1724			
				DATE MAILED: 10/30/2000	DATE MAILED: 10/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	Applica	ant(s)	
		10/541,842	BRUNG	BRUNONE, RENE	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Uni	t	
		Christopher Upt			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cove	er sheet with the correspon	ndence address	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILINGS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS C CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how tion. period will apply and will expire y statute, cause the application	OMMUNICATION. vever, may a reply be timely filed e SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.	date of this communication. C. § 133).	
Status					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice up	This action is non-fir	ormal matters, prosecution		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wide Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers The specification is objected to by the Ex. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[Applicant may not request that any objection	ithdrawn from conside and/or election require aminer. ☐ accepted or b)☐ ob	ement. ojected to by the Examine		
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	•	- · · · · ·		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by a under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ule Examiner. Note th	s attached Office Action (я юнн P1U-152.	
12)⊠ . a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Elee the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been rec uments have been rec e priority documents h Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	eived. eived in Application No ave been received in this 2(a)).	·	
2) Notice 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	48) 5) <u> </u>	Interview Summary (PTO-413 Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Appl Other:		

Art Unit: 1724

1. The specification should include section headings.

2. Claims 12, 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 2

Claims 12, 13 and 15 are method claims improperly dependent on an apparatus claim, and should be rewritten in independent form. The claims should also avoid indefinite language, such as "especially."

Claims 12, 13 and 15 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claims 12, 13 and 15 are method claims improperly dependent on an apparatus claim, and therefore fail to limit the structure recited in the parent claim.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art.Unit: 1724

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

4. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 10-12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Moar ('581).

The Moar '581 patent discloses a conveyor for floating substances having integral buoyancy tubes on each side, shown to be of differing cross sections and therefore different buoyancies in figure 2, as claimed.

5. Claims 1-3, 5, 10-12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Moar ('122).

The Moar '122 patent discloses a conveyor for floating substances having integral buoyancy tubes on each side, as claimed.

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moar.

Claim 4 differs from the two Moar references in recitation of the inflation pressure. It is submitted that this would have been an operational parameter, depending on the characteristics of the substances to be separated, the size of the conveyor, and other site specific considerations, and therefore fails to patentably distinguish over the Moar patents.

7. Claims 8, 9, 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moar in view of Markel, Anderson or Laman.

Art Unit: 1724

Claims 8, 9, 13 and 15 differ from the Moar patents in recitation of ribs on the conveyor. It is known to put ribs on a conveyor, as exemplified by Markel, Anderson and Laman. It would therefore have been obvious for one skilled in the art to add ribs to the conveyor of Moar, to assist in moving the substance. With respect to claims 9 and 15, it is submitted that whether to place ribs on one or both sides of the conveyor would obviously depend on the site specific considerations, and therefore fails to patentably distinguish over the prior art.

Page 4

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Other references of interest include Challener, Rolls, Ahrendt, Muramatsu, Simpson, Ridgway, Summerhayes and Channell.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Upton whose telephone number is 571-272-1169. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, off every other Monday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1724

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher Upton Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724